Single Bench

C.S.1002

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 679 **/2005**

PETITIONER : C.Minj, aged 50 years, S/o Gustap Minj, Ex-Forest Ranzer, R/o Village Halka Toli, P.O. Gijabahar, Tahsil Kunkuri, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary
Forest Department
D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

2. Divisional Forest Officer
Korba Forest Division Korba (C.G.)

3. Conservator of Forest
Bilaspur Circle, Bilaspur (C.G.)

4. Enquiry/Incharge Officer
Narangi Kheshtra Sarvenkshan avam Simankan Ikai, Korba (C.G.)

P.R. No. 670/05
Presented by Sri D.N. Prajapati
dated 18-02-05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

मो० अय्यूब खान

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(49)

मामला क्रमांक W.P.:679..... सन् 2005

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14.3.2005

Shri D.N.Prajapati, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Government Advocate for the State/respondents on advance copy.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was charge-sheeted by respondent No.2 and the departmental enquiry was initiated against him and after completion of the departmental enquiry, the punishment order was passed by which the petitioners was removed from his services (order dated 24.7.2004, Annexure P- 7).

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that against the order passed by the disciplinary authority, petitioner has filed an appeal before the appellate authority, the memo of appeal has been filed as Annexure P- 8. He further submits that the appeal filed before the appellate authority (Annexure P- 8), has not been decided so far.

He prays for a limited relief that this Court may direct the appellate authority to dispose of this appeal within the stipulated period so that the matter may come to an end.

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel.

Consequently, the same is allowed and it is hereby directed that the departmental appeal filed by the petitioner vide Annexure P- 8, if it has not been disposed of so far, it shall be disposed of as early as possible, preferably within a period of 2 months from the

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

date of filing of certified copy of this order before the appellate authority. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case and the appeal of the petitioner should be decided on its own merits in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid directions, the petition stands finally disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P.No.557/2005 and I.A.No. 1404/2005 for urgent hearing stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per reels.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge